United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/627,348 | 07/25/2003 | Carlos Gonzalez Marti | 09424.0213US01 | 2325 |
| 23552 7590 09/25/2007 MERCHANT & GOULD PC | | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 2903 | | | KASSA, HILINA S | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2625 | |
| | | | | |
| | • | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | • | | 09/25/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be evaluate under the provisions of 30° FR1 1-300°, in no event, however, may a reply be timely rifled by the second of 30° FR1 1-300°, in no event, however, may a reply be timely rifled in 100 period for reply a specified above, the maximum statutory parted with apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failur to reply within the stor extended period for reply will, by statelac, example the application C six U.S. C, § 1133°, Ary reply received by the Office lever than time modulation and the maining date of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed plannit them adjudents. Set 70° FR1 1-70(40). Status 1) N Responsive to communication (S) filled on 27 June 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is finAL. 2b) This action is finAL. 2b) This action accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Hillin S. Kassa 2625 Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (§) MONTHS from the invalidation of the communication. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (§) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (§) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (§) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will reply float, they reduce a ply communication of the communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, event florely float, they reduce a ply communication of this communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date the mailing date of this communication. Brack SIX (S) MONTHS from the mailing date the mailing date of this co | | 10/627,348 | MARTI, CARLOS GONZALEZ | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Expension of time may be avaisable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1,136(i), in covers, however, may reply be timery feed and the state of the communication. Faulto to levely which the set or dended genet for review of 3 CFR 1,136(i), in covers, however, may reply be timery feed and the set of this communication. Faulto to levely which the set or dended genet for review (by the state), cause the suplication to be command shore with a state the mailing date of this communication, even if simply field, may reduce any cannot place that the suplication is command shore the mailing date of this communication, even if simply field may reduce any cannot place that supplication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ○ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) 2-27 is/are rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) 3-27 is/are rejected. 8) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) field on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ○ The proving of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10 ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 21 ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **See the attached defailed Office action for a list of the certified copies on the recrified copies of the priority docume | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - In the second of the region is be areashed under the processor and control of the communication of the processor and processor and control of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication, seen if timely filled under the processor and the communication, seen if timely filled under the maining date of this communication, seen if timely filled under the maining date of this communication, seen if timely filled, under reduce any accordance to the seen of timely filled, under reduce any accordance to the communication, seen if timely filled, under reduce any accordance to the communication, seen if timely filled, under reduce any accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Brace this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 11) Some * c) Mone of the priority documents have been received in Application No | • | | | | | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Electrosino ribin may be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication of 3° CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication of the communicat | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2007. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-27 is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period well. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(e) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Trotmail Patent Application 50 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 51 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application | Status | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(e) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Trotmail Patent Application 50 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 51 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju | ne 2007. | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) | | | | | | | | |
| Application of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | | | | | | |
| 4) | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are ejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application 4) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application | Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application | · — · · · | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some or None or: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 2) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. | 6) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ☐ . | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | • | | | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | · · · | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) *Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | • • | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | Attachment(s) | A) Tatomious Summers | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | , | · | | | | | |
| | 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |

'Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Examiner acknowledges the amendment entered on 06/27/2007 for the specification, drawings and claims.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 06/27/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

(1) Argument 1:

Applicant argues that Xu fails to disclose "including an embedded program, an electronic document for this purpose."

With respect to applicant's argument "including an embedded program is embedded in the electronic document linked to a user data input field for generating commands to print geometrical document elements." However, Xu discloses an embedded program that is embedded in the electronic document (paragraph 22, lines 3-12; paragraph 25, lines 10-19; note that in a barcode may include information that identifies document and could be used to embed a variety of data and other graphics) linked to a user data input field for generating commands to print geometrical document elements (paragraph 23, lines 2-7; paragraph 57, lines 1-4; note that the encoding device generates barcode data based on analysis engine that

Art Unit: 2625

analyses an electronic version of a documents. Also, note that a document with a barcode stamp is printed).

(2) Argument 2:

Applicant argues that Xu fails to disclose "a user data input field and electronic document for entering the data and the barcode."

With respect to applicant's argument "a user data input field and electronic document for entering the data and the barcode." However, Xu discloses a user data input field and electronic document for entering the data and the barcode (paragraph 23, lines 1-2; paragraph 30, lines 1-8; note that information or data to be included in the barcode may be put manually which gets inputted by user. In addition to that, in a network transaction the originator forms a digital signature by passing the data to be signed by encrypting using a private key).

(3) Argument 3:

Applicant argues that Xu fails to disclose "distribution of documents with the data input field and an embedded program."

With respect to applicant's argument "distribution of documents with the data input field and an embedded program." However, Wu discloses distribution of documents with the data input field and an embedded program (paragraph 22, lines 7-8; paragraph 11, lines 1-3; note that an electronic document cold be sent out or distributed by a document processor via the internet to be modified and printed).

Art Unit: 2625

Xu et al. and Wu et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to distribute documents with the data input field and an embedded program. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to increase the use for distributing of an electronic document to encode any information as a barcode and to have it printed (paragraph 4, lines 3-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Xu et al. with Wu et al. to obtain the invention as specified in argument 3.

(4) Argument 4:.

Applicant argues that Xu does not disclose, "linking embedded software to a user input field in an electronic document."

With respect to applicant's argument "linking embedded software to a user input field in an electronic document." However, Xu discloses linking embedded software to a user input field in an electronic document (20, figure 2, paragraph 33, lines 5-18; note that the application is related to an on-line operation system. Also, the e-application or the embedded software provides transactions to a feature extractor, which is provided to an encoder).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2625

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-8 and 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xu et al. (US 2004/0065739 A1) in view of Wu et al. (US 2004/0128513 A1).

(1) regarding claim 1:

Xu et al. discloses a method and processor for obtaining printed instances of a document comprising:

Including a definition of a user data input field in the electronic document data (page 2, paragraph 23, lines 1-2, page 3, paragraph 30, lines 1-8), for receiving a string of characters entered in said field (page 3, paragraph 29 "key cryptography" which is considered as a field users could enter data to have it encrypted, page 2, paragraph 18 "overwrite individual data elements");

Including an embedded program (4 figure 1, paragraph 25, lines 10-19) in the electronic document data (3A figure 1, paragraph 26, lines 1-7), linked to the user data input filed (20 figure 2), for generating commands (405, figure 7) to print geometrical elements (410, figure 7) of a barcode code, that represent a series of codewords derived from the characters in the string (paragraph 50, lines 6-21), each codeword being represented as a respective configuration of printed geometrical (410 figure 7, paragraph 54, lines 1-4, paragraph 55, lines 1-4) elements and their background in a respective area of the barcode (320, 24 figure 6, paragraph 51, lines 1-3);

Art Unit: 2625

Xu et al. discloses all of the subject mater as described above except for the method of distributing copies of electronic document data to a document processors and the electronic document data containing instructions for printing each instance from a respective one of the document processors.

However, Wu et al. teaches that electronic document could be sent out (distributed) by a document processor via the Internet (paragraph 22, lines 7-8, paragraph 11, lines 1-3) to be modified and printed. In order to have an electronic document printed, the printer driver is invoked. Data contained in the document are first converted to printer command that is retrieved from the printer control firmware (paragraph 25, lines 1-7).

One skilled in the art would have clearly recognized an electronic document or computer file that needs to be distributed or passed before it gets printed or processed by a document processor. Another method was related to the secured printing of the electronic document and tracking of the distribution path of the document after printing (paragraph 7, lines 5-8).

Having said that, the method and processes used by Xu et al. increases the use for distributing of an electronic document to encode any information as a barcode code and to have it printed. Thus, the electronic form could be an on line e-application (paragraph 30, lines 4-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the barcode code when loading an electronic form as taught by Wu et al. and having it to print the decoded elements of

Art Unit: 2625

a barcode that is stated in entered as described in the method of Xu et al. to provide more efficiency when printing a decoded barcode.

(2) regarding claim 2:

Xu et al. further discloses, wherein the embedded program (4 figure 1, paragraph 25, lines 10-19) is arranged to make at least one of the configurations dependent on a further factor other than the codeword represented by the configuration that will be decoded upon decoding the barcode (7 figure 1, paragraph 24, lines 5-11, paragraph 25, lines 10-21, paragraph 26, lines 1-7).

(3) regarding claim 3:

Xu et al. further discloses, wherein the embedded program makes the configurations dependent on the specific area in which the codeword is represented, so that mutually different configurations (2, 3 figure 1, paragraph 21, lines 1-9) will result from representing a specific codeword dependent on whether the specific codeword is represented in one region or another (paragraph 24, lines 5-11, paragraph 25, lines 10-21).

(4) regarding claim 4:

Xu et al. further discloses, wherein the embedded program is arranged to control printing of the barcode as a two dimensional barcode (paragraph 6, lines 2-7 "placed on an item" – similar to printing), at least part of the areas having mutually different shapes

Art Unit: 2625

(paragraph 8, lines 3-9) the embedded program adapting the commands to print the elements of the configuration that is used to represent a codeword according to the shape of the area in which the codeword is represented (35 figure 2, paragraph 34, lines 1-7).

(5) regarding claim 5:

Xu et al. further discloses, wherein the embedded program is arranged to include additional information in the areas, the additional information being independent of the codewords represented in the areas, (could be a signature in an electronic form, paragraph 33, lines 16-21, Xu explains that the barcode could be decoded with an additional information independent of the initial information that had been stated) the additional information being included by adding geometrical elements, removing geometrical elements an/or modifying visual properties of part of the geometrical elements that represent at least one of the codewords (paragraph 33, lines 21-32), not affecting a decoded result when the barcode is decoded after scanning (42 figure 2, paragraph 35, lines 3-11, paragraph 36, lines 1-5).

(6) regarding claim 6:

Xu et al. further discloses, wherein the embedded program is arranged to print additional geometrical elements that extend from within a region that is defined by all geometrical elements (101 figure 3A, graphic figure could have geometrical elements, paragraph 37, lines 6-8) that will be used to decode the barcode in the printed

document, to outside said region among further printed items of the documents, so that the additional geometrical elements do not affect a decoded result when the barcode is scanned and decoded (paragraph 37, lines 1-12).

(7) regarding claim 7:

Xu et al. further discloses, wherein the geometrical elements each have a property that does not affect the decoded data (paragraph 27, lines 1-5) the embedded program being arranged to set said property in different ones of geometrical elements in at least one area that represents a codeword differently during printing (paragraph 54, lines 1-3, paragraph 55, line 3-4).

(8) regarding claim 8:

Xu et al. further discloses, wherein the embedded program is arranged to select a color and/or grey level density of different geometrical elements differently (paragraph 25, lines 1-10, paragraph 27, lines 1-7), as a predetermine function of position in an area where the barcode is printed (paragraph 51, lines 2-10 " there is no specific limitation for the printing the barcode; however the barcode could be printable").

5. Claims 9-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xu et al. (US 2004/0065739 A1) and further in view of Koakutsu et al. (US Patent Number 6,906,812 B2).

Art Unit: 2625

(1) regarding claim 9:

Xu et al. discloses a method for processing an electronic document comprising:

A user data input device and a connection for a printer, the electronic document processor having a loaded electronic form that contains a definition of a user data entry field for receiving a string of input characters from a user (paragraph 33, lines 5-13),

The processor being arranged to extract and execute an embedded program from the document, the embedded program being linked to the user data input field, for generating commands to print geometrical elements of a barcode that encodes a series of codewords derived from the characters in the string, each codeword represented as a configuration of printed geometrical elements and their background in a respective area of the barcode (paragraph 23, lines 1-7).

Xu et al. discloses all of the subject mater as described above except for the user data input device and a connection for a printer.

However, Koakutsu et al. shows the connection between user data input device (90 figure 1, column 6, lines 51-52, column 7, lines 44-48) and a printer (1 figure 1, column 6, lines 51-52, column 7, lines 44-48). A barcode or similar symbol can be printed accurately with in a specified printing area by a printer. For printing such symbols, the printer has a symbol image generator for converting display data to a symbol and has hardware or software for reporting the size of the converted symbol to a host device. The host sends specific commands and text data for representation in the printed symbol to the printer for printing (abstract).

Art Unit: 2625

Once skilled in the art would have clearly recognized that a user data input device and connectivity to a printer are the very essential elements to have an electronic document data printed. The host device or an application running on the host device only needs to send the information to be displayed to the printer (column 3, lines 13-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a host device or data input device and connectivity to a printer to as taught by Koakutsu et al. in the method of Xu et al. in order to efficiently print and process any document.

(2) regarding claim 10:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic document processor as claimed in claim 9, wherein the embedded program (4 figure 1, paragraph 25, lines 10-19) is arranged to make at least one of the configurations dependent on a further factor other than the codeword represented by the configuration that will be decoded upon decoding the barcode (7 figure 1, paragraph 24, lines 5-11, paragraph 25, lines 10-21, paragraph 26, lines 1-7).

(3) regarding claim 11:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic document processor as claimed in claim 9, wherein the embedded program makes the configurations dependent on the specific area in which the codeword is represented, so that mutually different configurations (2, 3 figure 1, paragraph 21, lines 1-9) will result to represent a specific codeword

Art Unit: 2625

dependent on whether the specific codeword is represented in one region or another(paragraph 24, lines 5-11, paragraph 25, lines 10-21).

(4) regarding claim 12:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic document processor as claimed in claim 11, wherein the embedded program is arranged to control printing of the barcode as a two dimensional barcode (paragraph 6, lines 2-7 "placed on an item" – similar to printing), at least part of the areas having mutually different shapes, the embedded program adapting the commands to print the elements of the configuration that is uses to represent a codeword according to the shape of the area in which the codeword is represented (35 figure 2, paragraph 34, lines 1-7).

(5) regarding claim 13:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic document processor as claimed in claim 11, wherein the embedded program is arranged to include additional information in the areas (could be a signature in an electronic form, paragraph 33, lines 16-21, Xu explains that the barcode could be decoded with an additional information independent of the initial information that had been stated), the additional information being independent of the codeword represented in the areas, the additional information being included by adding geometrical elements, removing geometrical elements and/or modifying visual propertied of part of the geometrical elements that represent at least one of the codewords (paragraph 33, lines 21-32), dependent on the area in which the

Art Unit: 2625

codeword is represented in a way that does not affect a decoded result when the barcode is scanned and decoded (paragraph 37, lines 1-12).

(6) regarding claim 14:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic document processor as claimed in claim 13, wherein the embedded program is arranged to print additional geometrical elements that extend from within a region that is defined by all geometrical elements (101 figure 3A, graphic figure could have geometrical elements, paragraph 37, lines 6-8) that will be used to decode the barcode in the printed document, to outside said region among further printed items of the document, so that the additional geometrical elements do not affect a decoded result when the barcode is scanned and decoded (paragraph 37, lines 1-12).

(7) regarding claim 15:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic document processor as claimed in claim 13, wherein the geometrical elements each have a property that does not affect the decoded data (paragraph 27, lines 1-5), the embedded program being arranged to set said property in different ones of geometrical elements in at least one area that represents a codeword differently during printing (paragraph 54, lines 1-3, paragraph 55, line 3-4).

(8) regarding claim 16:

Art Unit: 2625

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic document processor as claimed in claim 15, wherein the embedded program is arranged to select a color and/or grey level density of different geometrical elements differently (paragraph 25, lines 1-10, paragraph 27, lines 1-7), as a predetermined function of position in an area where the barcode is printed (paragraph 51, lines 2-10 "there is no specific limitation for the printing the barcode; however the barcode could be printable").

(9) regarding claim 17:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic form stored on a computer that contains a definition of a user data entry field (page 2, paragraph 23, lines 1-2, page 3, paragraph 30, lines 1-8) for receiving a string of input characters from a user and an embedded program linked to the user data input field (3A figure 1, paragraph 26, lines 1-7), for generating commands to print geometrical elements of a barcode, that represents a series of codewords derived from the characters in the string, each codeword represented as a configuration of printed geometrical elements (410 figure 7, paragraph 54, lines 1-4, paragraph 55, lines 1-4) and their background in a respective area of the barcode (320, 24 figure 6, paragraph 51, lines 1-3).

(10) regarding claim 18:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic form according to claim 17, wherein the embedded (4 figure 1, paragraph 25, lines 10-19) program is arranged to make at least one of the configurations dependent on a further factor other than the codeword

Art Unit: 2625

represented by the configuration that will be decoded upon decoding the barcode (7 figure 1, paragraph 24, lines 5-11, paragraph 25, lines 10-21, paragraph 26, lines 1-7).

(11) regarding claim 19:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic form according to claim 17, wherein the embedded program makes the configurations dependent on the specific area in which the codeword is represented, so that mutually different configurations (2,3 figure 1, paragraph 21, lines 1-9) will result from representing a specific codeword dependent on whether the specific codeword is represented in one region or another (paragraph 24, lines 5-11, paragraph 25, lines 10-21).

(12) regarding claim 20:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic form as claimed in claim 19, wherein the embedded program is arranged to control printing of the barcode as a two dimensional barcode (paragraph 6, lines 2-7 "placed on an item" – similar to printing), at least part of the areas having mutually different shapes (paragraph 8, lines 3-9), the embedded program adapting the commands to print the elements of the configuration that is used to represent a codeword according to the shape of the area in which the codeword is represented(35 figure 2, paragraph 34, lines 1-7).

(13) regarding claim 21:

Art Unit: 2625

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic form as claimed in claim 19, wherein the embedded program is arranged to include additional information in the areas (could be a signature in an electronic form, paragraph 33, lines 16-21, Xu explains that the barcode could be decoded with an additional information independent of the initial information that had been stated), the additional information being independent of the codewords that are represented in the areas, the additional information being included by adding geometrical elements, removing geometrical elements and/or modifying visual properties of part of the geometrical elements that represent at least one of the codewords (paragraph 33, lines 21-32), dependent on the area in which the codeword is represented in a way that does not affect a decoded result when the barcode is scanned and decoded (paragraph 37, lines 1-12).

(14) regarding claim 22:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic form as claimed in claim 21, wherein the embedded program is arranged to print additional geometrical elements that extend from within a region that is defined by all geometrical elements (101 figure 3A, graphic figure could have geometrical elements, paragraph 37, lines 6-8) that will be used to decode the barcode in the printed document, to outside said region among further printed items of the documents, so that the additional geometrical elements do not affect a decoded result when the barcode is scanned and decoded (paragraph 37, lines 1-12).

Art Unit: 2625

(15) regarding claim 23:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic form as claimed in claim 21, wherein the geometrical elements each have a property that does not affect the decoded data (paragraph 27, lines 1-5) the embedded program being arranged to set said property in different ones of geometrical elements in at least one area that represents a codeword differently during printing (paragraph 54, lines 1-3, paragraph 55, line 3-4).

(16) regarding claim 24:

Xu et al. further discloses, an electronic form as claimed in claim 23, wherein the embedded program is arranged to select a color and/or grey level density of different geometrical elements differently (paragraph 25, lines 1-10, paragraph 27, lines 1-7), as a predetermined function of position in an area where the barcode is printed (paragraph 51, lines 2-10 "there is no specific limitation for the printing the barcode; however the barcode could be printable").

(17) regarding claim 25:

Xu et al. further discloses, a machine readable medium, comprising an electronic from stored on a computer (paragraph 33, lines 5-13) according to claim 17.

(18) regarding claim 26:

Xu et al. further discloses, a method of authoring an electronic document, the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2625

including a definition of a field for entering a string of characters in the document (3A figure 1, paragraph 30, lines 1-8, claim 2, line 3, paragraph 50, lines 2-9);

providing software building blocks for building an embedded program (4 figure 1, paragraph 26, lines 1-7) for generating commands to print geometrical elements of a barcode, so that the generated barcode is decodable according to a predetermined standard (410 figure 7, paragraph 54, lines 1-4, paragraph 55, lines 1-4);

assembling the building blocks into the program during authoring of the document, while adapting the embedded program to make a visual aspect of the barcodes generated under control of the program specific to the document and/or the field, without affecting a result of decoding the barcode (paragraph 27, lines 1-8).

(19) regarding claim 27:

Xu et al. further discloses, a document authoring machine, for generating an electronic document that includes a field for entering a string of characters and an embedded program linked to the field for generating commands to print geometrical elements of a barcode (paragraph 8, lines 1-8, claim 13), the machine comprising software building blocks for building the embedded program so that the generated barcode is decodable according to a predetermined standard, and an editor for assembling the building blocks when the document is authored (7 figure 1, paragraph 19, lines 7-15, claim 13-14), the editor (paragraph 8, lines 9-13) providing for adaption of the embedded program to make a visual aspect of the barcodes generated under

Art Unit: 2625

control of the program specific to the document and/or the field, without affecting a result of decoding the barcode (claim 29, lines 5-15).

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Hilina Kassa whose telephone number is (571) 270-1676.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler Lamb could be reached at (571) 272-7406.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks

Page 20

Application/Control Number: 10/627,348

Art Unit: 2625

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Hilina Kassa

September 13, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER